Application No. 10/840,190
Amendment dated April 25, 2007
Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2007

REMARKS

In the above-mentioned office action, claims 1-10, and 12-20 were rejected. Claims 1 and 15 were rejected under Section 102(b) over Kallio. Claims 2-10, 12-14, and 16-20 were rejected under Section 103(a) over the combination of Kallio and Ahmayaara. While the Examiner made objection to the recitations of claim 11 being dependent upon a rejected base claim, the Examiner acknowledged that claim 11 recites patentable subject matter.

The applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter of claim 11. Independent claim 1 has been amended to include the recitations of claim 11, together with its intervening claims. Method claim 15 has been analogously amended, and recites, as-amended, operations corresponding to the apparatus recited in claim 1. Claim 15, as now-amended, is believed, therefore, also to recite patentable subject matter.

Dependent claims 4, 10-11, 16, and 19 have been cancelled. Because the remaining dependent claims are variously dependent upon claims 1 and 15, these claims are believed to be patentably distinguishable over the cited references, taken alone or in combination, for the same reasons as those given above with respect to claims 1 and 15.

New claim 21 is further presented. Support for the recitation of the claim is found, for instance, page 5, lines 15-25, page 6, lines 5 and 8-19, and page 11, line 22-page 12, line 17. Examination and consideration for allowance of this claim is respectfully requested.

The applicant asserts that neither reference discloses the structure, recited in claim 21.

While paragraphs 58 and 61 of Ahmayaara refer to an SSID list maintained within a WLAN AP, paragraph 76 refers to a PLMN preference list stored in a SIM, and claim 1 of the reference refers to the step of storing an identification of a network in user equipment, there is no disclosure in the reference of multiple WLAN lists nor of a selector that selects the selected network first from the first WLAN list and, if unavailable thereon, on the second WLAN list. Kallio was neither cited for showing nor appears to show, a WLAN listing at user equipment.

The applicant further notes the Examiner's statement regarding the Information
Disclosure Statement submitted 21 March 2005. A corrected Information Disclosure Statement
is enclosed herewith under separate title.

Application No. 10/840,190 Amendment dated April 25, 2007 Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2007

In light of the foregoing, independent claims 1 and 15, as now-amended, new independent claim 21, and the remaining ones of the dependent claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reexamination and reconsideration for allowance of these claims respectfully requested. Such early action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Robert H. Kelly /

Robert H. Kelly Registration No. 33,922

SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P. 5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1400 Dallas, Texas 75225 Telephone: (214) 706-4201 Fax: (214) 706-4242

robert.kelly@scheefandstone.com